

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov



APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/622,993 07/18/2003 Henry Welling Lane DIOP-02602 3051 34209 7590 03/15/2004 EXAMINER LAW OFFICE OF DEREK J. WESTBERG NERBUN, PETER P 2 NORTH SECOND STREET, SUITE 1390 SAN JOSE, CA 95113 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3765

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/622,993	LANE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter P Nerbun	3765
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 July 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 7-10 and 23-37 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 7-10 and 23-37 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 18 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/20/03, 11/25/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/622,993

Art Unit: 3765

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-10 and 23-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (U.S.P. 3,377,626) or Carroll (U.S.P. 4,571,748) in view of Tee, Jr. (U.S.P. 5,416536). The patent to Smith discloses an apparatus for shielding a user's eye while allowing the user's eye contact with air, the apparatus comprising a frameless eyeshield 17, 42, Fig. 8 and a cushioning structure 43, 44 affixed to at least a portion of the perimeter of the eyeshield wherein the cushioning structure includes a plurality of vents formed by holes in the cushioning structure for allowing air to circulate behind the eyeshield (see col. 2, lines 66-70). The patent to Carroll discloses an apparatus for shielding a user's eye while allowing the user's eye contact with air, the apparatus comprising a frameless eyeshield 16, 18, Fig. 4 and a cushioning structure 14 affixed to at least a portion of the perimeter of the eyeshield wherein the cushioning structure includes a plurality of vents formed by holes in the cushioning structure for allowing air to circulate behind the eyeshield (see col. 3, lines 63-65 which state that the cushioning material is formed of open cell foam) Open cell foam is well known by one having ordinary skill in the art to have multiple holes therein which would permit air to circulate therethrough. To construct the eye shielding apparatus of Smith or Carroll with an optically correct viewing area in the eye shield as suggested by Tee,

Application/Control Number: 10/622,993

Art Unit: 3765

Jr. (at col. 9, lines 5-7) would have been obvious since a portion of the wearer's visual field would be free from distortion thereby enabling more capable vision.

Claims 26-29 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shipcott (cited on PTO-1449, paper no. 10/20/2003) in view of Tee, Jr. The patent to Shipcott discloses an apparatus for shielding a user's eye while allowing the user's eye contact with air, the apparatus comprising an eyeshield 12, Fig. 1 and a cushioning structure 24, 28 affixed to at least a portion of the perimeter of the eyeshield wherein the cushioning structure includes a plurality of vents (at 26) for allowing air to circulate behind the eyeshield and wherein at least a nose-bridge portion of the cushioning structure is affixed to a single surface of the eyeshield. To construct the eye shielding apparatus of Smith or Carroll with an optically correct viewing area in the eye shield as suggested by Tee, Jr. (at col. 9, lines 5-7) would have been obvious since a portion of the wearer's visual field would be free from distortion thereby enabling more capable vision.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter P Nerbun whose telephone number is 703-308-0955. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (1st Week) M-Th (2d Week).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J Calvert can be reached on 703-305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Nerbun March 8, 2004

> Peter Nerbun Primary Examiner